



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,734	08/04/2003	Antti Kiiveri	915-008.012	6648
4955 7590 02/27/2007 WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER PERUNGA VOOR, VENKATANARAY	
			ART UNIT 2132	PAPER NUMBER
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/27/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/634,734

Applicant(s)

KIIVERI ET AL.

Examiner

Venkat Perungavoor

Art Unit

2132

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/27/2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

The Applicant's arguments filed on 12/27/2006 are not persuasive. As Moscovici(US Patent 6678765) discloses the external memory module(34) being controlled by an CPU(31) and DSP(32) see Fig. 2. And this external memory module contains data related to handshaking process, which is an security feature of the circuitry, in an broader sense because it contains information identifying the parties involved in the communication see Col 3 Ln 30-39.

Although the Applicant's mentions security data being related to keys, booting of circuitry, secret data, and algorithms there is no mention of this in the claims. And the Examiner has taken the broadest reasonable interpretation in determining the scope of the claims. Moscovici and Smith fail to explicitly disclose such a feature.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4, 6-10,12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent 6678765 B1 to Moscovici et al.(hereinafter Moscovici).

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 1,7, 13, Moscovici discloses the storage area(see Fig. 2 item 34 & 37) which has protected area relating to security see Col 3 Ln 40-56(handshaking programs and OS contains secure information regarding the unit); a mode setting means for processor to two operating modes and altering modes see Fig. 2 item 31 & 32 & Col 4 Ln 66- Col 5 Ln 6 (where the CPU and DSP take turns writing/reading from external and internal memory, during which time the other is disabled/idle mode); access control means to enable CPU to access protected data see Col 4 Ln 22-35 & Col 3 Ln 40-60(the OS is usually an protected data and only accessible by the CPU during operations regarding control and further the CPU configuring of DSP which is generally also data that is protected); and access control means for preventing CPU from accessing storage area when DSP is mode is set thereby enabling the DSP to execute software that has been downloaded see Col 6 Ln 3-22(where the CPU and DSP use the memory module and buffers to fetch and execute instructions alternatively and since only one of CPU or DSP can access the module and registers thus effectively preventing the other).

Regarding Claim 2,8,14, Moscovici discloses the CPU sending seven instructions and afterwards deciding whether to be idle or active state see Col 6 Ln 13-23 & Fig. 5.

Regarding Claim 3, 9,15, Moscovici discloses the handshaking programs which are consistent with authentication see Col 3 Ln 30-46.

Art Unit: 2132

Regarding Claim 4, 10, 16, Moscovici discloses the CPU being operated in idle and data mode see Col 6 Ln 13-23 & Col 3 Ln 30-39.

Regarding Claim 6, 12, 18, Moscovici discloses the mobile telecommunications terminal see Col 3 Ln 23-28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5, 11 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent 6678765 B1 to Moscovici et al. (hereinafter Moscovici) in view of U.S Patent 6449281 B1 to Smith.


Regarding Claim 5, 11, and 17, Moscovici does not disclose the mode setting means being a application program. However, Smith discloses the video and diagnostic application controlling modes of CPU and DSP see Fig. 1A item 46 & 13. It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include the video and diagnostic application controlling modes of CPU and DSP in the invention of Moscovici in order to have a adaptable application controlled via user as taught in Smith see Col 2 Ln 36-48.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). A shortened statutory period for reply to this final

action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Venkat Perungavoor whose telephone number is 571-272-7213. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 571-272-3799. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Application/Control Number: 10/634,734


Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Venkat Perungavoor
Examiner
Art Unit 2132

VR
2/22/2007


GILBERTO BARRON JR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100